A friend invited me to contribute in a long debate with the SVD.

Herein I copy the few parts I was in.

(SVD party among tons of verbal hassles)

… Every son is littler than his father!

(myself)

Well friend.

I see that the debated is over-branched. I will focus on one approach with one argument.

Let me set aside the linguistic arguing for little. Let us make it logic. If Jesus who is called CHRIST is not divine then why is he there in the first place? Why is he called CHRIST? Actually Jews understood it perfect or they would not have crucified Him.

Take these couple of refutations to the argument of 'every son is littler than his father.'

1- It is circular reasoning. It assumes that Christ came into existence after the father in terms of time only to prove it.

2- It is a bad syllogism. Every father who is older than his son is himself a son younger than his own father, so the likening does not work regarding time element, because the Father is eternal over time and has no father for Himself. .

As simple as that!

(friend)

 They do believe in Him as a Divine Lord who at one point in time was not present as a person........

(myself)

I know what they say. They virtually claim also that he is 'less divine' than the father. Otherwise they would have been pleased with the term 'homoousios' in the Nicene creed, at least according to Eusabius interpretation.

Anyways, take this exegetical approach rather than the dumping of bad etymological philological lexical cram,

Joh 10:28 And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand.

Joh 10:29 My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all; and no man is able to pluck them out of my Father's hand.

Joh 10:30 I and my Father are one.

In this scripture we have that:

+ Neither any can pluck out of Jesus' hand.

+ Neither any can pluck out of the Father's hand.

+ Jesus and the Father are ONE.

the oneness aspect here by all exegetical possibilities is the oneness in POWER.

Christ then claims blatantly that He is one with the Father, in the sense of EQUALITY in power.

As simple as that.

===

(friend challenging the SVD side)

You did not answer the 'closed door' doctrine!

(An SVD answering the 'closed door'doctrine of Miss White)

You do know that even Peter thought all the gentiles were lost after the crucifixion and there was no hope for them?

Was Peter a false prophet?

(myself)

Good news and bad news. Good news first: You now pay attention that it was wrong when Peter observed the ritual Law,

with Sabbath to come first before any other commandment.

Bad News (for your argument):

Peter was not a prophet, in the sense of receiving new teaching and miraculously foretelling events

(It is ok that he was a 'prophet' as it means 'teacher').

He was rather an honest eyewitness and apostle.

That is to begin with!

To name one, readwhat the apostle James said in the Apostolic synod (Act15:14-18).. Read him here comparing his Apostolic job as distinguished from PROPHECIES, (2Pe1:17-19)..

Secondly, there is no clue that he believed,

be it after or before the crucifixion that all the gentiles were lost.

On the contrary as being a jew he was well familiar with los of scriptures foretelling about opening the door doe gentiles.

Apparently you mistake the Jewish fanatic devoutness regarding the LAW (as it was shown by Peter's ritualistic piety in Act10) for your assumption

that he observed a belief that all the gentiles are perpetually hopeless.

In other words you confuse the failing of the early church to pay attention to the fact that the ritualistic law is no longer valid

(under the obvious effect of inheriting a 15 century following of the law) with the fact that apostles and all normal Jews

believed that pious people among gentiles had hope in God's salvation without having to be subject to the law.

This is completely different from White's closed door 'prophetic' belief.

She had no ritualistic issue nor dealt with a situation where a long observed law was completed.

One more difference that leaves the syllogism so bad,

that is the Holy Spirit was keen to have the church stand corrected regarding this immature understanding of the Law.

The Holy Spirit declared that explicitly through the book of Acts and the apostolic epistles.

This is again not the case of Miss White.

So you asked, 'Was Pepeter a false pophet?' Tha answer is that he was not a prophet at all in the sense you mean of the word.

You assumed that he believed the gentiles were lost even before the crucifixion, while the truth is that you mistakenly asume he did.

You compared Miss White's 'closed door' thing to this particular situation of the early church failing to pay attention to substantive differences;

and that was one more false-prophetic argument!

Heeeey how reminiscent of the old sweet days of platlk.

(friend)

It's actually sweet and sad

Sweet because of the memory

Sad because I'm constantly reminded of the rarity of objective people on this planet

those who are honest enough with logic will face the agony of conversion. They gotta be desperately fallacious

Sadly enough, yes.

four myths are there. the yahoo the iceman the staunch friend and the objective person

LOL

always there is a built-in cyclic element

they all start with assuming their faith is right, thenthe story begins to end up proving that their faith is proved right

i am still laughing at misreading 'struck in the face' thing

:)

===

The debate is over-branched as Isaid at first and is taming with fallacious and\or clousy arguments. This kind of inadequate argumentation jeopardizes my ability to share anything but following for a while! Have the best of luck with them.

(friend-- after few hours)

They have been committing tons of fallacies.

This is what the final word is from one of them

My life has been deeply blessed by both Scripture and the inspired writings of Ellen White. All the arguing and human reasoning in the world isn't going to change that fact. As for me and my house we will serve the LORD and believe His prophets. ??

2:02 AM

(myself)

Any pagan feels blessed by hisidol's thing etc.

Fine. So I came out with few paragraphs, precious enough for adding up to tme site.

:)